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Abstract. Let \(d\) be any number greater than or equal to 3. We show that the intersection of the set \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) with \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) is \(\{ (d_1, d_2, d_3) : d = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}\). The result says that \(\{ (d_1, d_2, d_3) : d = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}\) has infinitely many elements, where \(\text{mdeg} h = (\deg h_1, \dots, \deg h_n)\) denotes the multidegree of a polynomial mapping \(h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n\). In other words, we show that there is infinitely many wild multidegrees of the form \((d, d_2, d_3)\), with fixed \(d \geq 3\) and \(d \leq d_2 \leq d_3\), where a sequences \((d_1, \dots, d_n)\) is a wild multidegree if there is a polynomial automorphism \(F\) of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) with \(\text{mdeg} F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)\), and there is no tame automorphism of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) with the same multidegree.

1. Introduction

In the following we will write \(\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) for the group of all polynomial automorphisms of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) and \(\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) for the subgroup of \(\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) containing all the tame automorphisms. Let us recall that a polynomial automorphism \(F\) is called tame if \(F\) can be expressed as a composition of linear and triangular automorphisms, where \(G = (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) is called linear if \(\deg G_i = 1\) for \(i = 1, \dots, n\), and \(H = (H_1, \dots, H_n) \in \text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) is called triangular if for some permutation \(\sigma\) of \(\{1, \dots, n\}\) we have \(H_{\sigma(i)} = c_i \cdot x_{\sigma(i)}\) belongs to \(\mathbb{C}[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}]\) for \(i = 1, \dots, n\) and some \(c_i \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\). Here \(\deg h\) denotes the total degree of a polynomial \(h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]\).

Let \(F = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\). By multidegree of \(F\) we mean the sequence \(\text{mdeg} F = (\deg f_1, \dots, \deg f_n)\). One can consider the function (also denoted \(\text{mdeg}\)) mapping \(\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n)\) into \(\mathbb{N}^n_+ = (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^n\). It is well-known [1, 2] that

\[
\text{mdeg} (\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^2)) = \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^2)) = \{ (d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2_+ : d_1 | d_2 \text{ or } d_2 | d_1 \},
\]

but in the higher dimension (even for \(n = 3\)) the situation is much more complicated and the question about the sets \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^n))\) and \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^n))\) is still not well recognized. The very first results [4] about the sets \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^n))\) for \(n > 2\), say that \((3, 4, 5) \notin \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) and \((d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^n))\) for all \(d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n\) with \(d_1 \leq n - 1\). Next, in [5] it was proved that for any prime numbers \(p_2 > p_1 \geq 3\) and \(d_3 \geq p_2\), we have \((p_1, p_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) if and only if \(d_3 \in p_1 \mathbb{N} + p_2 \mathbb{N}\).

The complete characterization of the set \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) \(\cap \{ (3, d_2, d_3) : 3 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}\) was given in [6]. The result says that \((3, d_2, d_3)\), with \(3 \leq d_2 \leq d_3\), belongs to \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) if and only if \(3 | d_2\) or \(d_3 \in 3 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N}\). The similar result about the set \(\text{mdeg} (\text{Tame} (\mathbb{C}^3))\) \(\cap \{ (5, d_2, d_3) : 5 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}\) and more other results are given in [7].

In the rest of the paper we will work with \(n = 3\) and we will write \(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]\) instead of \(\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]\). Let

\[
\mathcal{W} = \text{mdeg} (\text{Aut} (\mathbb{C}^3)) \setminus \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3))
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{W}_d = \mathcal{W} \cap \{ (d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3_+ : d = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}.
\]

Note that for the famous Nagata automorphism

\[
N : \mathbb{C}^3 \ni \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x - 2y(y^2 + zx) - z(y^2 + zx)^2 \\ y + z(y^2 + zx) \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^3,
\]

\[\text{deg} N = 3\]
which is known to be wild automorphism, i.e. \( N \not\in \text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3) \), we have \( \text{mdeg} N = (5, 3, 1) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \). Thus, \( \text{mdeg} N \) is not an element of \( W \) (in other words, \( \text{mdeg} N \) is not a wild multidegree). Besides of this the authors proved that the set \( W \) is not empty, and even more that this set is infinite \([8]\). Now we show the following refinement of that result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( d > 2 \) be any number. The set
\[
W_d = \left[ \text{mdeg}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \setminus \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \right] \cap \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in (\mathbb{N}_+)^3 : d = d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \}
\]
is infinite.

The proof of the theorem will be given separately for odd numbers \( d \geq 3 \) (section 3), even numbers \( d > 4 \) (section 4), and finally for \( d = 4 \) (section 5).

Note also the following remarks:

**Remark 1.2.** The sets \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) are empty, i.e. if \( d \in \{1, 2\} \) then for every \( d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{N}_+ \) such that \( d \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \) one can show a tame automorphism \( F \) of \( \mathbb{C}^3 \) satisfying \( \text{mdeg} F = (d, d_2, d_3) \).

For \( d = 1 \) one can take \( F(x, y, z) = (x, y + x^2, z + x^3) \), while for \( d = 2 \) one can use \([7, \text{Cor. 3.3}] \) or \([11, \text{Cor. 2.3}] \).

**Remark 1.3.** Let \( d \leq e \) and define \( W_{d, e} = \{(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathbb{N}_+^3 : d = d_1, e = d_2 \leq d_3 \} \). Then the set \( W_{d, e} \) is finite.

The proof of the above result can be found in \([11]\) or \([7, \text{Thm. 8.1}] \).

## 2. The case of odd number \( d \)

### 2.1. Elements of \( \text{mdeg}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \)

In this section we show the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( r, k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \). If \( r \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4) \), then
\[
(r, r + 2k, r + 4k) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( r \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4) \), we have \( r = 4l + 1 \) for some \( l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \). Let
\[
F = (T \circ N_k) \circ (T \circ N_l),
\]
where \( T(x, y, z) = (z, y, x) \) and for any \( m \in \mathbb{N}^* \)
\[
N_m : \mathbb{C}^3 \ni \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x - 2g(y^2 + zx)^m - z(y^2 + zx)^m \\ y + z(y^2 + zx)^m \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^3.
\]

One can see that \( \text{mdeg } (T \circ N_l) = (1, 1 + 2l, 1 + 4l) \). Moreover, if we put \( (f, g, h) := T \circ N_l \), then \( g^2 + fh = Y^2 + ZX \). Thus
\[
F = (T \circ N_k) \circ (f, g, h) = (h, g + h(Y^2 + ZX)^k, f - 2g(Y^2 + ZX)^k - h(Y^2 + ZX)^{2k}).
\]
Since \( \deg h > \max \{\deg f, \deg g\} \), one can see that
\[
\text{mdeg } F = (4l + 1, (4l + 1) + 2k, (4l + 1) + 4k).
\]

### Lemma 2.2. For every \( r, k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \), we have
\[
(r, r + k(r + 1), r + 2k(r + 1)) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)).
\]

**Proof.** Assume that \( r > 1 \). Let
\[
(f, g, h) = (X, Y, Z + X^r)
\]
and put
\[
F = (T \circ N_k) \circ (f, g, h).
\]
Since
\begin{equation}
F = (h, g + h(g^2 + fh)^k, f - 2g(g^2 + fh)^k - z(g^2 + fh)^{2k})
\end{equation}
and \( \deg h = r > \max \{ \deg f, \deg g \} \), one can see that \( \deg (g^2 + fh) = r + 1 \) and so
\begin{equation}
\text{mdeg} F = (r, r + k(r + 1), r + 2k(r + 1)).
\end{equation}
If \( r = 1 \), then one can take \( F = T \circ N_k. \) □

2.2. Elements outside \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)). In this section we show the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Let \( r, k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \). If \( r > 1 \) is odd and \( \gcd(r, k) = 1 \), then
\begin{equation}
(r, r + 2k, r + 4k) \notin \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)).
\end{equation}

Lemma 2.4. Let \( r, k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \). If \( r > 1 \) is odd and \( \gcd(r, k) = 1 \), then
\begin{equation}
(r, r + k(r + 1), r + 2k(r + 1)) \notin \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)).
\end{equation}

In the proofs of the above lemmas we will use the following.

Theorem 2.5 ([R], Thm. 2.1). Let \( d_3 \geq d_2 > d_1 \geq 3 \) be positive integers. If \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are odd numbers such that \( \gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1 \), then \( (d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \) if and only if \( d_3 \in d_1 \mathbb{N} + d_2 \mathbb{N} \), i.e. if and only if \( d_3 \) is a linear combination of \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{N} \).

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Note that the numbers \( r \) and \( r + 2k \) are odd. Moreover,
\begin{equation}
\gcd(r, r + 2k) = \gcd(r, 2k),
\end{equation}
and since \( r \) is odd,
\begin{equation}
\gcd(r, 2k) = \gcd(r, k) = 1.
\end{equation}

Assume that \( r + 4k \in r \mathbb{N} + (r + 2k) \mathbb{N} \). Since \( 2(r + 2k) > r + 4k \) and \( r \nmid (r + 4k) \), we have
\begin{equation}
r + 4k = r + 2k + mr,
\end{equation}
for some \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). By (22), \( 2k = mr \). Since \( r \) is odd, the last equality means that \( r \mid k \), a contradiction. Thus \( r + 4k \notin r \mathbb{N} + (r + 2k) \mathbb{N} \), and by Theorem 2.5 we obtain a thesis. □

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Since \( r + 1 \) is even, it follows that the numbers \( r \) and \( r + k(r + 1) \) are odd. Moreover,
\begin{equation}
\gcd(r, r + k(r + 1)) = \gcd(r, k(r + 1)),
\end{equation}
and since \( \gcd(r, k) = 1 \),
\begin{equation}
\gcd(r, k(r + 1)) = \gcd(r, r + 1) = \gcd(r, 1) = 1.
\end{equation}
Similarly
\begin{equation}
\gcd(r, r + 2k(r + 1)) = \gcd(r, 2k(r + 1)) = \gcd(r, r + 1) = 1.
\end{equation}

In particular \( r \nmid r + 2k(r + 1) \).

Assume that \( r + 2k(r + 1) \in r \mathbb{N} + (r + k(r + 1)) \mathbb{N} \). Since \( 2(r + k(r + 1)) > r + 2k(r + 1) \) and \( r \nmid r + 2k(r + 1) \), we have
\begin{equation}
r + 2k(r + 1) = r + k(r + 1) + mr,
\end{equation}
for some \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). By (22), \( k(r + 1) = mr \). Since \( \gcd(r, k) = 1 \), the last equality means that \( r \mid r + 1 \), a contradiction. Thus \( r + 2k(r + 1) \notin r \mathbb{N} + (r + k(r + 1)) \mathbb{N} \), and by Theorem 2.5 we obtain a thesis. □
2.3. **Proof of the theorem in the case of odd** $d$. Take any odd number $d > 1$. If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then by Lemmas [2.1] and [2.3] we have

\[ \{(d, d + 2k, d + 4k) : \gcd(d, k) = 1\} \subset \text{mdeg} (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \setminus \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \]

If $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then by Lemmas [2.2] and [2.4] we have

\[ \{(d, d + k(d + 1), d + 2k(d + 1)) : \gcd(d, k) = 1\} \subset \text{mdeg} (\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^3)) \setminus \text{mdeg} (\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^4)) \]

Since the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N}_+ : \gcd(d, k) = 1\}$ is infinite, the result follows.

3. **The case of even number** $d > 4$

3.1. **Preparatory calculations.** Fix even number $d > 4$ and take $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $\gcd(d, k) = 1$.

Consider the automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^3$:

\[ H_d(x, y, z) = (x, y, z + x^d) \]

and $N_k$ defined as in [1]. Note that $N_k = \exp(D \cdot \sigma^k)$, where $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\sigma = y^2 + xz$.

One can easily check that $D$ is locally nilpotent derivation on $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ and $\sigma \in \ker D$, so $\sigma^k \cdot D$ is also locally nilpotent. We will consider automorphisms $F_{d,k}$ of the form:

\[ F_{d,k} = T \circ N_k \circ H_d \]

where $T$ is defined as in the proof of Lemma [2.1]. An easy calculation shows (even for $d = 4$) that

\[ \text{mdeg} F_{d,k} = (d, d + k(d + 1), d + 2k(d + 1)) \]

and writing $d_1 = d$, $d_2 = d + k(d + 1)$ and $d_3 = d + 2k(d + 1)$ gives

\[ \gcd(d_1, d_2) = \gcd(d, d + k(d + 1)) = \gcd(d, k) = 1 \]

\[ \gcd(d_2, d_3) = \gcd(d + k(d + 1), d + 2k(d + 1)) = \gcd(d + k(d + 1), d + 1) = 1 \]

and

\[ \gcd(d_1, d_3) = \gcd(d, d + 2k(d + 1)) = \gcd(d, 2k) = \gcd(d, 2) = 2 \]

We will prove that no tame automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$ has the same multidegree as $F_{d,k}$. Suppose to the contrary that $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3) \in \text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ and $\text{mdeg} F = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$. As $F$ is not linear, due to the result of Shestakov and Umirbaev [9][10], $F$ must admit an elementary reduction or a reduction of types I-IV (see e.g. [9] Def. 1-3).

3.2. **Elementary reductions.** Recall that an elementary reduction on $i$-th coordinate $F_i$ of $F$ occurs when there exists $G(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $\deg(F_i - G(F_j, F_k)) < \deg F_i$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. We will use extensively the following

**Proposition 3.1** (see e.g. [4] Prop. 2.7 or [9] Thm.2). Suppose that $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ are algebraically independent and such that $f \notin \mathbb{C}[g]$ and $g \notin \mathbb{C}[f]$ (in denotes the highest homogeneous part of $h$). Assume that $\deg f < \deg g$, put

\[ p = \frac{\deg f}{\gcd(\deg f, \deg g)} \]

and suppose that $G(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $\deg_y G(x, y) = pq + r$, $0 \leq r < p$. Then

\[ \deg G(f, g) \geq q(p \deg g - \deg g - \deg f + \deg [f, g]) + r \deg g \]

Suppose that $F$ admits an elementary reduction on first coordinate, i.e. $\deg(F_1 - G(F_2, F_3)) < \deg F_1 = d_1$ for some $G \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Consequently $\deg G(F_2, F_3) = d_1$. By (23), we know that $p := \frac{d_1}{\gcd(d_2, d_3)} = d_2$.

Thus, from the above proposition applied to $f = F_2$ and $g = F_3$ we get

\[ \deg G(F_2, F_3) \geq q(pd_3 - d_3 - d_2 + \deg[F_2, F_3]) + rd_3 \geq q(d_2 - 1)(d_3 - 1) + rd_4 \]

Since $d_1 < (d_2 - 1)(d_3 - 1)$ and $d_1 < d_3$ we obtain that $q = 0$ and $r = 0$. That is $\deg_y G(x, y) = 0$ and $G(x, y) = u(x)$. But then $d_1 = \deg G(F_2, F_3) = \deg u(F_2) = d_2 \cdot \deg u$, which is a contradiction.
Similarly, suppose that $F$ admits an elementary reduction on third coordinate, i.e. \( \deg(F_3 - G(F_1, F_2)) < \deg F_3 = d_3 \) for some $G \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$. So $\deg G(F_1, F_2) = d_3$. Since $p := \frac{d_1}{\gcd(d_1, d_2)} \geq 3$ by (27), it follows that applying Proposition 4.1 to $f = F_1$ and $g = F_2$ we get

\[
(33) \quad \deg G(F_1, F_2) \geq q(pd_2 - d_2 - d_3 + \deg[F_1, F_2]) + rd_2 \geq q(2k(d + 1) + d + 2) + rd_2.
\]

Now, since $d_3 < 2k(d + 1) + d + 2$ and $d_3 < 2d_2$, we obtain that $q = 0$ and $r \in \{0,1\}$. If $r = 0$, we get $\deg_y G(x,y) = 0$ and so $\deg G(x,y) = u(x)$. But then $d_3 = \deg G(F_1, F_2) = \deg u(F_1) = d_1 \cdot \deg u$, which is a contradiction because $\gcd(d_3, d_1) \leq 2 < \deg d_1$. If $r = 1$, we get $G(x,y) = u(x) + yv(x)$ and so $d_3 = \deg G(F_1, F_2) = \deg(u(F_1) + F_2v(F_1))$. Since $\deg F_1$ and $\deg F_2$ are coprime, $\deg(u(F_1) + F_2v(F_1))$ must be equal either to $d_1 \cdot \deg u$ or to $d_2 + d_1 \cdot \deg v$. Consequently, $d_3 = d_1 \cdot \deg u$ or $d_3 = d_2 + d_1 \cdot \deg v$.

First case leads to a contradiction since $\gcd(d_3, d_1) = 2 < d_1$ and second since $\gcd(d_1 - d_2, d_1) = \gcd(k(d + 1), d_1) = 1 < d_1$. Now suppose that $F$ admits an elementary reduction on second coordinate. Then $\deg (F_2 - G(F_1, F_3)) < \deg F_2 = d_2$ for some $G \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ and so $\deg G(F_1, F_3) = d_2$. Let us put $p = \frac{d_1}{\gcd(d_1, d_2)}$ and apply Proposition 4.1 to $f = F_1$ and $g = F_3$. We will show that $\deg_y G(x,y) = 0$. By (29), $p = \frac{d_1}{d_2} \geq 2$ and so

\[
\deg G(F_1, F_3) \geq q(pd_2 - d_3 - d_1 + \deg[F_1, F_3]) + rd_3
\]

\[
\geq q\left(\frac{d_1 - 2}{2}(2k(d + 1) + d + 2) + d_2\right) + rd_3
\]

\[
\geq q\left(\frac{d_1 - 2}{2}(2k(d + 1) + r) + d_2\right) + rd_3 = q(k(d + 1) + d + 2) + rd_3.
\]

Since $d_2 < k(d + 1) + d + 2$ and $d_3 < d_3$, we obtain that $q = 0$ and $r = 0$ so $\deg_y G(x,y) = 0$. Consequently, we get $G(x,y) = u(x)$. But then $d_2 = \deg G(F_1, F_3) = \deg u(F_1) = d_1 \cdot \deg u$, which is a contradiction since $\gcd(d_2, d_1) = 1 < d_1$.

To summarize: if $F$ is a tame automorphism with multidegree equal to $mdeg F_{d,k}$, then $F$ does not admit an elementary reduction.

3.3. Shestakov-Umirbaev reductions. By the previous subsection and the following theorem we only need to check that no automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with multidegree $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (d, d + k(d + 1), d + 2k(d + 1))$ admits a reduction of type III.

**Theorem 3.2 (7 Thm. 3.15).** Let $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \neq (1,1,1)$, $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3$, be a sequence of positive integers. To prove that there is no tame automorphism $F$ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $mdeg F = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ it is enough to show that a (hypothetical) automorphism $F$ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $mdeg F = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ admits neither a reduction of type III nor an elementary reduction. Moreover, if we additionally assume that \( \frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{d_1}{d_3} = 2\) or $3 \nmid d_1$, then it is enough to show that no (hypothetical) automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with multidegree $(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ admits an elementary reduction. In both cases we can restrict our attention to automorphisms $F : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $F(0,0,0) = (0,0,0)$.

But, since $d_1$ is even, it follows that $2 \nmid d_2$ by (27). Hence, no automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with multidegree $(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ admits a reduction of type III by the following remark.

**Remark 3.3 (7 Rmk. 3.9).** If an automorphism $F$ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $mdeg F = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$, $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3$, admits a reduction of type III, then

1. $2|d_2$,
2. $3|d_1$ or $\frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{d_1}{d_3} = \frac{1}{3}$.

4. The case of $d = 4$

Let us consider the mapping $F_{4,d}$ defined as in (28) for $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ with $\gcd(4,k) = 1$ (in other words, for odd $k$). By (27) and (29), we know that $d_2$ is odd and $d_3$ is even. Then, since $d_3 - d_2 = 5k > 1$ and $mdeg F_{4,k} = (4,4 + 5k,4 + 10k) = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$ by (29), it follows that the result of Theorem 4.1 for $d = 4$, is a consequence of the following

**Theorem 4.1 (7 Thm. 6.10).** If $d_2 \geq 5$ is odd and $d_3 \geq d_2$ is even such that $d_3 - d_2 \neq 1$, then $(4, d_2, d_3) \in mdeg \left(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3)\right)$ if and only if $d_3 \in 4\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$. 
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In fact, if we assume that $d_3 \in 4\mathbb{N} + d_2\mathbb{N}$, then we get $d_3 = d_2 + 4m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, since $2d_2 > d_3$ and $4 \nmid d_3$. Hence, $5k = d_3 - d_2 = 4m$. Since $k$ is odd, this is a contradiction.
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