

INVARIANT IDEALS AND MATSUSHIMA'S CRITERION

IVAN V. ARZHANTSEV

ABSTRACT. Let G be a reductive algebraic group and H a closed subgroup of G . Explicit constructions of G -invariant ideals in the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G/H]$ are given. This allows to obtain an elementary proof of Matsushima's criterion: a homogeneous space G/H is an affine variety if and only if H is reductive.

1. ALGEBRAIC HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Let G be an affine algebraic group over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . A G -module V is said to be rational if any vector in V is contained in a finite-dimensional rational G -submodule. Below all modules are supposed to be rational. By V^G denote the subspace of G -fixed vectors in V .

The group $G \times G$ acts on G by translations, $(g_1, g_2)g := g_1 g g_2^{-1}$. This action induces the action on the algebra of regular functions on G :

$$(G \times G) : \mathbb{K}[G], \quad ((g_1, g_2)f)(g) := f(g_1^{-1} g g_2).$$

For any closed subgroup H of G , H_l , H_r denote the groups of all left and right translations of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ by elements of H . Under these actions, the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]$ becomes a rational H_l - (and H_r -) module.

By Chevalley's Theorem, the set G/H of left H -cosets in G admits a structure of a quasi-projective algebraic variety such that the projection $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ is a surjective G -equivariant morphism. Moreover, a structure of an algebraic variety on G/H satisfying these conditions is unique. It is easy to check that the morphism p is open and the algebra of regular functions on G/H may be identified with the subalgebra $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ in $\mathbb{K}[G]$. We refer to [6, Ch. IV] for details.

2. MATSUSHIMA'S CRITERION

Let G be a reductive algebraic group and H a closed subgroup of G . It is known that the homogeneous space G/H is affine if and only if H is reductive. The first proof was given over the field of complex numbers and used some results from algebraic topology, see [8] and [9, Th. 4]. An algebraic proof in characteristic zero was obtained in [2]. A characteristic-free proof that uses the Mumford conjecture proved by W.J. Haboush is given in [11]. Another proof based on the Morozov-Jacobson Theorem may be found in [7].

Below we give an elementary proof of Matsushima's criterion in terms of representation theory. The ground field \mathbb{K} is assumed to be algebraically closed and of characteristic zero.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M17, 14L30; 13A50, 14R20.

Supported by DFG Schwerpunkt 1094, CRDF grant RM1-2543-MO-03, RF President grant MK-1279.2004.1, and RFBR 05-01-00988.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a reductive algebraic group and H its closed subgroup. Then the homogeneous space G/H is affine if and only if H is reductive.*

Proof. We begin with the “easy half”.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be an affine algebraic group and H its reductive subgroup. Then G/H is affine.*

Proof. If a reductive group H acts on an affine variety X , then the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{K}[X]^H$ is finitely generated, the quotient morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[X]^H$ is surjective and any fiber of π contains a unique closed H -orbit [10, Sec .4.4]. In the case $X = G$ this shows that G/H is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$. □

Now assume that G is reductive and consider a decomposition

$$\mathbb{K}[G] = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}[G]_G,$$

where the first component corresponds to constant functions on G , and the second one is the sum of all simple non-trivial G_l - (or G_r -) submodules in $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Let $\text{pr} : \mathbb{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the projection on the first component. Clearly, pr is a $(G_l \times G_r)$ -invariant linear map.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G . Consider

$$I(G, H) = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \mid \text{pr}(fl) = 0 \ \forall l \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}\}.$$

This is a G_l -invariant ideal in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ with $1 \notin I(G, H)$. Assume that G/H is affine. Then $G/H \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ and $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ does not contain proper G_l -invariant ideals. Thus $I(G, H) = 0$. Our aim is to deduce from this that any H -module is completely reducible.

Lemma 2.3. *If W is an H_r -submodule in $\mathbb{K}[G]$ and $f \in W$ is a non-zero H_r -fixed vector, then $W = \langle f \rangle \oplus W'$, where W' is an H_r -submodule.*

Proof. Since $I(G, H) = 0$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ such that $\text{pr}(fl) \neq 0$. The submodule W' is defined as $W' = \{w \in W \mid \text{pr}(wl) = 0\}$. □

Lemma 2.4. *If $f \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ is an H_r -semi-invariant of the weight ξ , then there exists an H_r -semi-invariant in $\mathbb{K}[G]$ of the weight $-\xi$.*

Proof. Let Z be the zero set of f in G . Since Z is H_r -invariant, one has $Z = p^{-1}(p(Z))$. This implies that $p(Z)$ is a proper closed subset of G/H . There exists a non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}[G/H]$ with $\alpha|_{p(Z)} = 0$. Then $p^*\alpha \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ and $p^*\alpha|_Z = 0$. By Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz, there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ such that $(p^*\alpha)^n = fs$. This shows that s is an H_r -semi-invariant of the weight $-\xi$. □

Lemma 2.5. (1) *Any cyclic G -module V may be embedded (as a G_r -submodule) into $\mathbb{K}[G]$.*

(2) *Any n -dimensional H -module W may be embedded (as an H_r -submodule) into $(\mathbb{K}[H])^n$.*

(3) *Any finite-dimensional H -module may be embedded (as an H -submodule) into a finite-dimensional G -module.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $V = \langle Gv \rangle$. The map $\phi : G \rightarrow V$, $\phi(g) = g^{-1}v$, induces the embedding of the dual module $\phi^* : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[G]$. Consider the G_r -submodule $U = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[G] \mid \text{pr}(fl) = 0 \forall l \in \phi^*(V^*)\}$. By the complete reducibility, $\mathbb{K}[G] = U \oplus U'$ for some G_r -submodule U' . Obviously, $I(G, G) = 0$ and U' is G_r -isomorphic to V .

(2) Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be a basis of W^* . The embedding may be given as

$$w \rightarrow (f_1^w, \dots, f_n^w), \quad f_i^w(h) := \lambda_i(hw).$$

(3) Note that the restriction homomorphism $\mathbb{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[H]$ is surjective. By (2), any finite-dimensional H -module W has the form W_1/W_2 , where W_1 is a finite-dimensional H -submodule in a G -module V and W_2 is an H -submodule of W_1 . Consider $W_1 \wedge (\wedge^m W_2)$ as an H -submodule in $\wedge^{m+1} W_1$, where $m = \dim W_2$. Note that $W \cong (W_1 \wedge (\wedge^m W_2)) \otimes (\wedge^m W_2)^*$. By (1), the cyclic G -submodule of $\wedge^m V$ generated by $\wedge^m W_2$ may be embedded into $\mathbb{K}[G]$. By Lemma 2.4, $(\wedge^m W_2)^*$ also may be embedded into a G -module. □

Lemma 2.6. *For any H -module W and any non-zero $w \in W^H$ there is an H -submodule W' such that $W = \langle w \rangle \oplus W'$.*

Proof. Embed W into a G -module V . Let $V_1 = \langle Gw \rangle$. Then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ for some G -submodule V_2 . Embed V_1 into $\mathbb{K}[G]$ as a G_r -submodule. By Lemma 2.3, $V_1 = \langle w \rangle \oplus W_1$ for some H -submodule W_1 . Finally, $W' = W \cap (W_1 \oplus V_2)$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Any H -module is completely reducible.*

Proof. Assume that W_1 is a simple submodule in an H -module W . Consider two submodules in the H -module $\text{End}(W, W_1)$:

$$L_2 = \{p \in \text{End}(W, W_1) \mid p|_{W_1} = 0\} \subset L_1 = \{p \in \text{End}(W, W_1) \mid p|_{W_1} \text{ is scalar}\}.$$

Clearly, L_2 is a hyperplane in L_1 . Consider an H -eigenvector $l \in (L_1)^*$ corresponding to L_2 . Taking the tensor product with a one-dimensional H -module, one may assume that l is H -fixed. By Lemma 2.6, $(L_1)^* = \langle l \rangle \oplus M$, implying $L_1 = L_2 \oplus \langle P \rangle$, where M and $\langle P \rangle$ are H -submodules. Then $\text{Ker } P$ is a complementary submodule to W_1 . □

Theorem 2.1 is proved. □

Remark 2.8. In [13], for any action $G : X$ of a reductive group G on an affine variety X with the decomposition $\mathbb{K}[X] = \mathbb{K}[X]^G \oplus \mathbb{K}[X]_G$ and the projection $\text{pr} : \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]^G$, the $\mathbb{K}[X]^G$ -bilinear scalar product $(f, g) = \text{pr}(fg)$ on $\mathbb{K}[X]$ was introduced and the kernel of this product was considered. Our ideal $I(G, H)$ is such kernel in the case $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ provided $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is finitely generated.

Remark 2.9. For convenience of the reader we include all details in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 are taken from [3]. They show that for a quasi-affine G/H any H -module may be realized as an H -submodule of a G -module. The converse is also true [3], [4]. Proposition 2.2 is a standart fact. The proof of Lemma 2.7 is a part of the proof of the Weyl Theorem on complete reducibility [5], see also [12, Prop. 2.2.4].

3. SOME ADDITIONAL REMARKS

The following lemma may be found in [2].

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be an affine algebraic group and H its reductive subgroup. Then $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ does not contain proper G_l -invariant ideals.*

Proof. Consider a decomposition

$$\mathbb{K}[G] = \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \oplus \mathbb{K}[G]_{H_r},$$

where $\mathbb{K}[G]_{H_r}$ is the sum of all non-trivial simple H_r -submodules in $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Clearly, $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \mathbb{K}[G]_{H_r} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[G]_{H_r}$. Hence any proper G_l -invariant ideal in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ generates a proper G_l -invariant ideal in $\mathbb{K}[G]$, a contradiction. \square

By Hilbert's Theorem on invariants, the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is finitely generated. It is easy to see that functions from $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ separate (closed) right H -cosets in G . These observations and Lemma 3.1 give another proof of Proposition 2.2. Moreover, it is proved in [2, Prop. 1] that for a quasi-affine G/H the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ does not contain proper G_l -invariant ideals if and only if G/H is affine.

Now assume that G is reductive.

Proposition 3.2. [13, Prop. 1] *The ideal $I(G, H)$ is the biggest G_l -invariant ideal in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ different from $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$.*

Proof. Any proper G_l -invariant ideal I of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is contained in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \cap \mathbb{K}[G]_G$. Thus $\text{pr}(il) = 0$ for any $l \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$, $i \in I$. This implies $I \subseteq I(G, H)$. \square

Remark 3.3. For non-reductive G the biggest invariant ideal in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ may not exist. For example, one may take

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Here $G/H \cong \mathbb{K}^3 \setminus \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$, $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \cong \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, and the maximal ideals $(x_1 - a, x_2, x_3)$ are G_l -invariant for any $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

4. THE BOUNDARY IDEAL

In this section we assume that H is an observable subgroup of G , i.e., G/H is quasi-affine.

If the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is finitely generated, then the affine G -variety $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ has an open G -orbit isomorphic to G/H and may be considered as the canonical embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$. Moreover, this embedding is uniquely characterized by two properties: X is normal and $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus G/H) \geq 2$, see [4]. There are two remarkable G_l -invariant ideals in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$, namely

$$I^b(G, H) = I(X \setminus (G/H)) = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r} \mid f|_{X \setminus (G/H)} = 0\},$$

and, if G is reductive, the ideal $I^m(G, H)$ of the unique closed G -orbit in X . If G/H is affine, then $I^b(G, H) = \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$, $I^m(G, H) = 0$. In other cases $I^b(G, H)$ is the smallest proper radical G_l -invariant ideal, and $I^m(G, H)$ is the biggest proper G_l -invariant ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$. By Proposition 3.2, $I^m(G, H) = I(G, H)$. Moreover, $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}/I^m(G, H) \cong \mathbb{K}[G]^{S_r}$, where S is a minimal reductive subgroup of

G containing H . (Such a subgroup may be not unique, but all of them are G -conjugate, see [1, Sec. 7].) It follows from the Slice Theorem [7] and [1, Prop. 4] that $I^b(G, H) = I^m(G, H)$ if and only if H is a quasi-parabolic subgroup of a reductive subgroup of G .

Now assume that $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is not finitely generated. If G is reductive, then $I(G, H)$ may be consider as an analog of $I^m(G, H)$ in this situation (Proposition 3.2). We claim that $I^b(G, H)$ also has an analog, even for non-reductive G .

Proposition 4.1. *Let \hat{X} be a quasi-affine variety, $\hat{X} \hookrightarrow X$ be an (open) embedding into an affine variety X , $I(X \setminus \hat{X}) \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[X]$, and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\hat{X})$ be the radical of the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$ generated by $I(X \setminus \hat{X})$. Then*

- (1) *the ideal $\mathcal{I} \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$ does not depend on X ;*
- (2) *$I(X \setminus \hat{X})$ is the smallest radical ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X]$ generating an ideal in $\mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$ with the radical \mathcal{I} .*

Proof. (1) Consider two affine embeddings: $\phi_i : \hat{X} \hookrightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Let X_{12} be the closure of $(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)(\hat{X})$ in $X_1 \times X_2$ with the projections $r_i : X_{12} \rightarrow X_i$. Let us identify the images of \hat{X} in X_1 , X_2 , and X_{12} . We claim that $r_i(X_{12} \setminus \hat{X}) \subseteq X_i \setminus \hat{X}$. Indeed, the diagonal image of \hat{X} is closed in $\hat{X} \times X_j$, $j \neq i$, as the graph of a morphism.

It follows from what was proved above that the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X_{12}]$ generated by $r_i^*(I(X_i \setminus \hat{X}))$ has the radical $I(X_{12} \setminus \hat{X})$. This shows that the radical of the ideal generated by $I(X_i \setminus \hat{X})$ in $\mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$ does not depend on i .

(2) Assume that there is a radical ideal $I_1 \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[X]$ not containing $I = I(X \setminus \hat{X})$ and generating an ideal in $\mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$ with the radical \mathcal{I} . There is $x_0 \in \hat{X}$ such that $h(x_0) = 0$ for any $h \in I_1$. Take $f \in I$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$. One has $f^k = \alpha_1 h_1 + \dots + \alpha_k h_k$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}[\hat{X}]$, $h_i \in I_1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and this implies $f(x_0) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

So $\mathcal{I}(G/H)$ is a radical G_l -invariant ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$, and $\mathcal{I}(G/H) = I^b(G, H)$ provided $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ is finitely generated.

Proposition 4.2. *$\mathcal{I}(G/H)$ is the smallest non-zero radical G_l -invariant ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ and $I(f)$ be the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$ generated by the orbit $G_l f$. It is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{I}(G/H) \subseteq \text{rad } I(f)$. Take any G -equivariant affine embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ with $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$. For the ideal $I'(f)$ generated by $G_l f$ in $\mathbb{K}[X]$ one has $I(X \setminus (G/H)) \subseteq \text{rad } I'(f)$, hence $\mathcal{I}(G/H) \subseteq \text{rad } I(f)$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be an affine algebraic group and H its observable subgroup. Then G/H is affine if and only if $\mathcal{I}(G/H) = \mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$.*

It should be interesting to give a description of the ideal $\mathcal{I}(G/H)$ similar to the definition of $I(G, H)$, and to find a geometric meaning of the G_l -algebras $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}/I(G, H)$ and $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}/\mathcal{I}(G/H)$ for non-finitely generated $\mathbb{K}[G]^{H_r}$.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to J. Hausen for useful discussions. In particular, Proposition 4.1 appears during such a discussion. Thanks are also due to D.A. Timashev for valuable remarks.

This paper was written during the staying at Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen (Germany). The author wishes to thank this institution and especially Jürgen Hausen for invitation and hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.V. Arzhantsev, *Algebras with finitely generated invariant subalgebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **53:2** (2003), 379–398.
- [2] A. Białynicki-Birula, *On homogeneous affine spaces of linear algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 577–582.
- [3] A. Białynicki-Birula, G. Hochschild and G.D. Mostow *Extensions of representations of algebraic linear groups*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 131–144.
- [4] F.D. Grosshans. *Algebraic Homogeneous Spaces and Invariant Theory*. LNM **1673**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [5] J.E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Graduate Texts in Math. **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin, 1972.
- [6] J.E. Humphreys. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Graduate Texts in Math. **21**, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1975.
- [7] D. Luna, *Slices étales*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr., memoire **33** (1973), 81–105.
- [8] Y. Matsushima, *Espaces homogènes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes*, Nagoya Math. J. **16** (1960), 205–218.
- [9] A.L. Onishchik, *Complex hulls of compact homogeneous spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **130:4** (1960), 726–729 (Russian); English Transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl **1** (1960), 88–91.
- [10] V.L. Popov and E.B. Vinberg. *Invariant Theory*. Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Sovrem. Probl. Mat. Fund. Naprav. vol. **55**, VINITI, Moscow, 1989. English Transl.: Algebraic Geometry IV, Encyclopedia of Math. Sciences, vol. **55**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [11] R.W. Richardson, *Affine coset spaces of reductive algebraic groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **9:1** (1977), 38–41.
- [12] T.A. Springer. *Invariant Theory*. LNM **585**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.
- [13] E.B. Vinberg, *On stability of actions of reductive algebraic groups*, in “Lie Algebras, Rings and Related Topics”, Fong Yuen, A.A. Mikhalev, E. Zelmanov Eds., Springer-Verlag, Hong Kong Ltd. (2000), 188–202.

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE LOMONOSOV UNIVERSITY, VOROBIEVY GORY, GSP-2, MOSCOW, 119992, RUSSIA
E-mail address: arjantse@mccme.ru